DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 078 662

EM 011 205

AUTHOR

Caro, Paul W., Jr.

TITLE

Equipment-Device Task Commonality Analysis and Transfer of Training. Technical Report 70-7.

INSTITUTION

Human Resources Research Organization, Alexandria,

SPONS AGENCY

Office of the Chief of Research and Development

(Army), Washington, D.C.

REPORT NO

HumRRO-TR-70-7

PUB DATE

Jun 70

NOTE

43p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

Aircraft Pilots: *Comparative Analyse ; Educational

Programs; Equipment Evaluation; *Flig t Training;

Military Air Facilities; Military Training;

*Simulators; *Task Analysis; Technical Reports;

Trainers; Training; *Transfer of Training

IDENTIFIERS

*Task Commonality Analysis; United States Army

ABSTRACT

Army researchers developed a system of procedures designed to enable training personnel to assess the utility of an existing flight training device for new training purposes. Such adaptations became a salient feature of military training due to the continuous modification of operational equipment. Using a candidate device for rotary wing training, they produced a system of procedures, called the Task Commonality Analysis (TCA), which allowed training personnel to: 1) identify task elements associated with criterion performance in the operational and training equipment; 2) compare the two sets of tasks; 3) estimate the extent to which task commonality is required for transferable training; and 4) specify the synthetic training program most likely to result in maximum positive transfer of training from device to operational equipment. Information derived from the TCA was used to predict transfer of training. Results indicated that the TCA provided an effective basis for assessing the potential value of a training device; they also showed the particular training device employed in this study to be of little use. (Author/PB)

Technical Report 70-7

Equipment-Device Task Commonality Analysis and Transfer of Training

by

Paul W. Caro

HumRRO Division No. 6 (Aviation)

AD

June 1970

Prepared for:

Office, Chief of Research and Development Department of the Army

Contract DAHC 19-70-C-0012

HumRRO

HUMAN RESOURCES RESEARCH ORGANIZATION

FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310

6 June 1970

CRDBES

SUBJECT: Equipment-Device Task Commonality Analysis and Transfer cf
Training

TO:

- 1. The research described in this report was designed to develop procedures that would enable training personnel to assess the utility of an existing device for new training purposes. The system of procedures, called Task Commonality Analysis (TCA), was developed in connection with the evaluation of a device for Army rotary wing instrument flight training. The procedures allow comparison of operational task stimulus and response elements with corresponding elements in synthetic training equipment. Application of Task Commonality Analysis to a candidate device is described.
- 2. It was concluded that the system for evaluating a training device by comparison of its task elements with those of operational equipment provides an objective basis for assessing the potential training value of a device. It was also concluded that relatively little task commonality exists between the specific device assessed in this research and the operational equipment.
- 3. This report should be of interest to those concerned with aviation training and to those involved in training program development in which there will be choices between the use of existing training devices and the development of new devices.

FOR THE CHIEF OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT:

FRANK H. DUGGINS,

Colonel, GS

Chief, Behavioral Sciences

Division

Equipment-Device Task Commonality Analysis and Transfer of Training

bv

Paul W. Caro

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

June 1970

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

EDUCATIC 44 WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS OOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPROOUCEO EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATEO OO NOT NECESSARICY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EOUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Prepared for:
Office, Chief of Research and Development
Department of the Army
Contract DAHC 19-70-C-0012 (DA Proj 20062107A712)

HumRRO Division No. 6 (Aviation)
Fort Rucker, Alabama
HUMAN RESOURCES RESEARCH ORGANIZATION

Technical Report 70-7
Work Unit ECHO IV

The Human Resources Research Organization (HumRRO) is a nonprofit corporation established in 1969 to conduct research in the field of training and education. It is a continuation of The George Washington University Human Resources Research Office. HumRRO's general purpose is to improve human performance, particularly in organizational settings, through behavioral and social science research, development, and consultation. HumRRO's mission in work performed under contract with the Department of the Army is to conduct research in the fields of training, motivation, and leadership.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Published
June 1970
by
HUMAN RESOURCES RESEARCH ORGANIZATION
300 North Washington Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Distributed under the authority of the Chief of Research and Development Department of the Army Washington, D.C. 20310

FOREWORD

The overall objectives of Work Unit ECHO are to survey and evaluate current synthetic flight training in Army aviation; to determine experimentally the value of selected flight training devices; and to establish guidance for the development and effective utilization of flight training devices in present and future aviation training curricula. Activities directed toward these objectives were begun by the Human Resources Research Organization in FY 1964 at Fort Rucker, Alabama.

In Sub-Unit ECHO I, a survey of synthetic flight training equipment and practices was conducted at the U.S. Army Aviation School and at aviation field units within the continental United States. In ECHO II, the training value of a device embodying the captive helicopter concept was evaluated. In ECHO III, an evaluation was conducted of training devices, designed originally for fixed wing training, used in a rotary wing instrument training program. Costs associated with both flight and synthetic flight training in that program also were studied. The ECHO III research has been reported in Isley, Robert N., Caro, Paul W., Jr., and Jolley, Oran B., Evaluation of Synthetic Instrument Flight Training in the Officer/Warrant Officer Rotary Wing Aviator Course, HumRRO Technical Report 68-14, November 1968; and Jolley, Oran B., and Caro, Paul W., Jr., A Determination of Selected Costs of Flight and Synthetic Flight Training, HumRRO Technical Report 70-6, April 1970. The present Technical Report describes research conducted under ECHO IV. The ECHO III and ECHO IV research dealt with the same training program and equipment. The research was performed and most of the report preparation completed while HumRRO was part of The George Washington University.

The ECHO research was performed by HumRRO Division No. 6 (Aviation) at Fort Rucker. The Director is Dr. Wallace W. Prophet; Dr. Paul W. Caro is the Work Unit Leader. Military support for the study was provided by the U.S. Army Aviation Human Research Unit, Fort Rucker. LTC Edward B. Covington, III, was the Unit Chief at the time the research was conducted. LTC Ralph V. Gonzales was the Unit Chief during preparation of the draft report. LTC Dunell V. Schull is the present Unit Chief.

HumRRO research for the Department of the Army is conducted under Army Contract DAHC 19-70-C-0012. Training, Motivation, and Leadership research is conducted under Army Project 2Q062107A712.

Meredith P. Crawford
President
Human Resources Research Organization

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

MILITARY PROBLEM

A frequently occurring problem in Army Training is the adaptation of training equipment from one training requirement to another. Each time operational equipment undergoes modification or a model change, the suitability of available training devices comes into question. Frequently, when new equipment is procured, funds are not available for development of appropriate training devices to go with it, and improvisations are adopted.

When the Army undertook instrument flight missions in rotary wing aircraft, no training devices were available to support the necessary instrument training programs. Devices that were being used for fixed wing instrument training were modified to a quasi-rotary wing configuration and were used in rotary wing instrument training programs. The effectiveness of the training given in these modified devices was subjected to experimental investigation, and they were found to be of no demonstrable value in meeting rotary wing instrument training objectives.

ECHO IV, a follow-up study, was undertaken to provide guidance as to means for more effective utilization of the modified devices in meeting the Army's requirements for rotary wing instrument training. Because of the general nature of the problem of effective use of available training devices, however, the follow-up study was oriented toward the broader objective of developing generalizable, systematic, analytic procedures that would enable training personnel to assess the utility of a variety of existing devices for new training purposes.

RESEARCH PROBLEM

The use of existing devices for purposes for which they were not designed requires analyzing both an operational man-machine system and training devices designed for a different system in order to ascertain where common task elements lie. Using the information thus developed, principles of transfer of training may be applied to design a training program to maximize positive transfer of training and minimize negative. The development of procedures to accomplish such analyses was the research problem of the present study.

APPROACH

The approach taken in this study was to devise systematic procedures to:

- (1) Identify task elements associated with criterion performance in the operational equipment.
 - (2) Identify task elements associated with performance in the training device.
- (3) Systematically and objectively compare task elements associated with performance in the equipment and in the device.
- (4) Estimate the extent to which task commonality is required for transferable training to be feasible.
- (5) Specify the nature of the synthetic training program most likely to result in maximum positive and minimum negative transfer of training from device to operational equipment.

RESULTS

Using the modified device employed in Army rotary wing instrument training as a vehicle for the research, procedures were developed for an equipment-device Task Commonality Analysis (TCA). Information derived through the TCA then was used to predict the occurrence of both positive and negative transfer of training from the device to the operational equipment. On the basis of these predictions, characteristics of training programs for use with the device in rotary wing instrument training were stated.

CONCLUSION

It was concluded that the systematic comparison of task elements involved in performance in training devices and operational equipment could provide an objective basis for development of effective training programs. The TCA procedures developed in this study can be used wherever training programs are developed which include training devices not optimized for use in the programs. Such situations occur wherever existing devices are modified to meet new training requirements or when "off-the-shelf" devices are procured for use in specialized training programs.

In the case of the device employed as a vehicle for the research described in this report, it was concluded that relatively little task commonality exists between it and the operational equipment. Predominantly negative transfer of training was predicted from its use, a prediction that tended to be supported by the earlier transfer of training study.

CONTENTS

Doolesson	and of the Decemb	Page 3
Васкдго	und of the Research	3
	Introduction	
	The ECHO III Study	3
Problem	and Approach	4
	Military Problem	4
	Research Problem	4
	Research Approach	. 5
Develop	ment of Task Commonality Analysis Procedures	5
	Analysis of Stimuli in the Operational Equipment	5
	Analysis of Stimuli in the Training Device	6
	Equipment-Device Task Element Comparison	7
	Analysis of Criterion Performance	10
Determi	nation of Training Program Characteristics	11
	Transfer of Training Considerations	11
	Response Commonality and Prediction of Transfer of Training	11
	Aircraft Control	11
	Communication	13
	Additional Training Program Considerations	13
	Environmental Simulation	13
	Aircraft Malfunction	14
	Development of a Training Program	15
Conclusi	on	15
Appendi	x	
Α	Displays and Controls Found in the TH-13T Aircraft	19
В	Displays and Controls Found in 1-CA-1 Device Modified at USAAVNS to a Rotary	
	Wing Configuration	23
С	Modified 1-CA-1 Displays and Controls Which Are Operational in a Majority of the Available Devices	25
D	Analysis of a Representative Flight Maneuver Performed During a Tactical	
	Instrument Mission in the TH-13T Aircraft	27
Table		
1	TH-13T Displays and Controls and Their Counterparts in the Rotary Wing	
	Modified 1-CA-1	8
2	Ratings of Realism of Displays Important in Aircraft Control Common to	
	Both the TH-13T and the 1-CA-1	9
3	Ratings of Realism of Controls Important in Aircraft Control Common to	_
	Both the TH 13T and the 1-CA-1	9

Equipment-Device
Task Commonality Analysis and
Transfer of Training

BACKGROUND OF THE RESEARCH

INTRODUCTION

Recent expansion of Army aviation and the emphasis on helicopter operations have put a heavier burden upon the Army's capability for training rotary wing aviators. To meet these increased aviator training requirements, training concepts and techniques have been adopted which reasonably can be expected to increase the efficiency of rotary wing training. The use of synthetic flight training devices, for example, has become widely accepted in Army aviator training programs. Their use is based on the assumption that training in the device (a) will transfer to the aircraft, and (b) is more efficient and/or less

expensive than equivalent training given in the aircraft.

The Army is currently developing a system of rotary wing trainers designed specifically to meet its requirements for rotary wing synthetic training devices.1 Pending development of this Synthetic Flight Training System, however, the U.S. Army Aviation School is relying on available devices in its rotary wing program. One such device is a fixed wing instrument trainer, Device 1-CA-1, which has been modified to a quasi-rotary wing configuration. The modified 1-CA-1 is used to provide training in the skills required for instrument flight to officer-students and warrant officer candidate-students enrolled in the Tactical Instrument Phase of the Officer/Warrant Officer Rotary Wing Aviator Course (O/WORWAC).²

The 1-CA-1 was originally designed and manufactured in the late 1940s, and a number of studies have indicated that training in the device can lead to reductions in the amount of flight time required to complete training programs for fixed wing aviators, or to improved aviator proficiency, or to both.³ Until recently, however, no studies were reported of the effectiveness of this device when modified for use as a synthetic trainer in rotary wing instrument programs.

THE ECHO III STUDY

During FY 1967, an experimental evaluation was conducted as part of HumRRO Work Sub-Unit ECHO III, to determine the contribution to inflight performance of rotary wing synthetic training being given in modified 1-CA-1 devices at the U.S. Army Aviation School.⁴ Students who received instruction according to the prescribed syllabus in these devices (in addition to a prescribed program of inflight training) were compared with students who received only half as much device instruction and to students who received no device training at all. It was reasoned that differences in flight performance

¹ Paul W. Caro, Jr. "Adaptive Training—An Application to Flight Simulation," Human Factors, vol. 11, no. 6, 1969, pp. 569-576; also issued as HumRRO Professional Paper 5-70, March 1970.

²For a brief description of O/WORWAC, see: Robert N. Isley, Paul W. Caro, Jr., and Oran B. Jolley. Evaluation of Synthetic Instrument Flight Training in the Officer/Warrant Officer Rotary Wing Aviator Course, HumRRO Technical Report 68-14, November 1968, pp. 5-6.

³ Smode, A.F., Hall, E.R., and Meyer, D.E. An Assessment of Research Relevant to Pilot Training, AMRL-TR-66-196, Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, November 1966.

⁴HumRRO Technical Report 68-14, op. cit.

among groups, if any, would indicate the training contribution of the device and its associated programs of instruction to trainee flight performance.

The results of the ECHO III study showed that, overall, there were no consistent indications that the device-trained groups differed from the groups without such training. The few significant differences among groups were irregular in direction and so few as to suggest that they were chance findings. It was concluded that the synthetic instruction given in the modified devices in the O/WORWAC at the time of the study did not contribute

significantly to trainee flight performance.

The ECHO III research involved an evaluation of the modified 1-CA-1 device combined with the program of instruction then in use at the Aviation School. The study indicated only that the combination of the device and its associated training program contributed nothing of significance to the development of trained pilots. It did not indicate whether the primary deficiency lay with the device itself or with the associated synthetic training program, that is, whether the device was inherently unsuitable for the intended application or whether it merely was being used in an ineffective manner. Therefore, a follow-up study was undertaken to develop a program of synthetic flight instruction for use with the rotary wing modified 1-CA-1. The intent of ECHO IV, the follow-up study, was to develop a program which would exploit the training capabilities of the device and, at the same time, avoid attempting to use it to teach flight skills for which it might be inappropriate.

PROBLEM AND APPROACH

MILITARY PROBLEM

The problem of making appropriate use of training devices is not restricted to rotary wing training or even to flight training generally. In a larger context, the Army and other organizations often face the problem of adapting training equipment from one task to another. Each time operational equipment undergoes modification or a model change, the suitability of available training devices comes into question. Frequently, when new equipment is procured, funds are not available for appropriate training devices to go with it, and improvisations are adopted. The improvisation—as with the 1-CA-1 trainer—is almost certain to be less than optimally suited for the instructional requirement, since it is not specifically modeled on the operational tasks and equipment. It is consequently difficult for a training officer to know how to use the device, or indeed, whether it is efficient to use it at all.

ECHO IV, therefore, in addition to investigating the possible usefulness of the 1-CA-1s with a revised training program, was oriented toward a broader objective: to develop systematic, analytic procedures that would enable training personnel to assess the utility of an existing device for a new training purpose (or to evaluate an "off-the-shelf" device for use in fulfilling a specific training requirement). This orientation relegated the development of a training program which could make effective use of the Army's rotary wing modified 1-CA-1 to being an objective of secondary importance. Nevertheless, interest in improved use of the modified 1-CA-1 made that device a desirable vehicle for the development of the broader procedures.

RESEARCH PROBLEM

Ideally, equipment, job, required personnel characteristics, training devices, and programs of instruction should be designed in concert with one another—the systems approach taken in modern man-machine developments. Basic to developing the human factors and training aspects of a man-machine system is a careful and thorough identification, through analysis of operational requirements, of the tasks human beings will perform in that system. Training programs and training devices with associated programs of instruction can then be developed from task analytic data, employing the psychological principles of transfer of training. That is, programs of training to be conducted using synthetic devices can be devised to assure that knowledges and skills are acquired that are directly usable in operational equipment (i.e., assure positive transfer), and also to assure minimum acquisition of



skills and knowledges that would interfere with performance using operational equipment (i.e., minimize negative transfer).

Applying the techniques of task analysis and the principles of transfer of training to the development of training programs for existing devices is, essentially, a retrofit process. The use of existing devices for purposes for which they were not designed requires analyzing both an operational man-machine system and existing training devices designed for a different system, in order to ascertain which $t^{2n/2}$ e' at a are common, and then applying principles of transfer of training, on a positive transfer and minimize negative.

From the research perspective, it is necessary to develop a systematic procedure to accomplish this. Such a procedure might be called an equipment-device Task Commonality Analysis (TCA). Task elements found to be common to the operational equipment and the device can then be used as the raw material for study. At one extreme, the TCA might indicate there is essentially no potential for positive transfer of training, either because common elements are lacking, or because interference elements cannot be avoided. At the other extreme, the TCA might identify sufficient commonality to assure probable substantial positive transfer of training with few, if any, interfering elements being present.

RESEARCH APPROACH

The approach in this study, therefore, consisted of devising systematic procedures to:

(1) Identify task elements associated with criterion performance in the operational equipment.

(2) Identify task elements associated with performance in the training device.

(3) Systematically and objectively compare task elements associated with performance in the equipment and the device.

(4) Estimate the extent to which task commonality is required for transferable

training to be probable.

Once procedures for an equipment-device TCA have been devised, it then becomes possible to determine the characteristics of a training program for use with the device that would be consistent with the psychological principles of transfer of training.

DEVELOPMENT OF TASK COMMONALITY ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

ANALYSIS OF STIMULI IN THE OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT

Criterion performance in the Tactical Instrument Phase of the O/WORWAC,⁵ the course which served as a vehicle for the present research, consists of accomplishing, during a simulated tactical instrument flight, certain aircraft and navigation tasks. These tasks involve making specific responses to stimuli present during the flight. The first part of the problem found in the present study was that of specifying the stimuli present in the criterion situation and the responses elicited by them.

⁵The Tactical Instrument Phase of the O/WORWAC, introduced in late 1965, was designed to prepare rotary wing aviators to operate under instrument flight conditions in a tactical situation. Graduates were qualified for a Tactical Instrument Rating. The Standard Instrument Rating, which allows graduates to operate under FAA Instrument Flight Rules, at the time of the research was awarded following completion of another training course, the Helicopter Instrument Flight Course. Many flight skills were common to these two courses. Since this research was conducted, the Tactical Instrument Phase of the O/WORWAC has been replaced by a phase leading to the award of a Standard Instrument Rating. Further information about the training relevant to the present study may be found in HumRRO Technical Report 68-14, op. cit.

These inflight stimuli are of two types: (a) hardware, that is, the displays and controls found inside⁶ the cockpit of the aircraft; and (b) non-hardware, that is, the factors which relate to the mission being flown. Examples of non-hardware stimuli include environmental influences, such as winds, and verbal instruction received via radio,

such as flight clearances.

Appendix A contains a list of all displays and controls found in the TH-13T aircraft, and was compiled by examining the aircraft itself and its Operator's Manual. Since the purpose of the list was to enable the researchers to identify all the hardware stimuli to which an aviator might be responding during criterion performance, the list is exhaustive. For example, in identifying the displays and controls, no assumptions were made concerning whether any item had significance for training. The complete listing of these hardware stimuli is given in Appendix A.

The question of whether a particular stimulus in the criterion equipment has relevance for training must be resolved if a determination is to be made concerning the adequacy of a synthetic training device. To resolve the question with respect to the TH-13T hardware stimuli, the list was given to six instructor pilots whose primary duty at the time was to conduct Tactical Instrument Training in the O/WORWAC. The instructors were told to, "Delete from this list all those displays and controls which are not employed in the conduct of O/WORWAC Tactical Instrument Training in the TH-13T."

It was the consensus of the instructors queried that trainees in the course under study were required to respond to all displays and controls in the TH-13T cockpit except those associated with the VOR and ILS instrument navigation equipment. These items are so indicated in Appendix A.

With respect to non-hardware stimuli, interviews of these same instructor pilots verified that, during criterion performance, aviators are required to respond to all radio and interphone communications directed to them or their aircraft, electromagnetic signals received from ground-based radio navigation facilities, and factors associated with the weather through which the aircraft is flying.

ANALYSIS OF STIMULI IN THE TRAINING DEVICE

Paralleling the determination of the stimuli to which an aviator responds in the operational equipment, was the determination of the stimuli to which he must respond in the training device. These stimuli also are of two types—hardware and non-hardware.

Appendix B contains a list of all the displays and controls found in those 1-CA-1 devices at the U.S. Army Aviation School which have been modified to a quasi-rotary wing configuration. As is the case for the TH-13T, the list of modified 1-CA-1 displays and controls is exhaustive. The modifications were made locally by personnel assigned to the Aviation School, and there is some variation from device to device. Therefore, in compiling the list, each⁸ device was examined, and the items contained in Appendix B are not all found in any one device.

In addition to a lack of standardization among these devices with respect to the presence of specific displays and controls, a number of the items, generally present in the

⁷TM 55-1520-226-10, October 1969.

⁶For the purposes of the present study, only stimuli present within the cockpit during flight were considered. Since the 1-CA-1 devices were designed to simulate flight under instrument flight conditions, i.e., weather, and since no out-of-the-cockpit visual displays are incorporated in it, no consideration was given to stimuli unique to flight under visual flight conditions.

⁸There were 51 such devices at the time of the research reported here.

devices, do not function or function in such manner that they are not considered usable by the device instructors. For example, most of the engine-instrument displays that are present do not function at all; the standby compasses incorporate errors as high as 60° ; the engine tachometer registers zero RPM when the master switch is off and a fixed operational value when the master switch is on. Further, some of the displays and controls in the device have no counterpart in the TH-13T, such as the pitot heater switch and the trainer lock-release lever.

Accordingly, a revised list of displays and controls was prepared and is presented in Appendix C. It contains all of the hardware stimuli that were considered appropriate for consideration in this study, that is, all those displays and controls which (a) are operable, and (b) are found in a majority of the rotary wing modified 1-CA-1 devices. It is the list in Appendix C which was used for further study.

With respect to non-hardware stimuli, it was determined that verbal instructions, simulating radio and interphone communications, can be given to trainees in the device through a headset—the simulation originating from the instructor of the device's instructor station. In addition, electromagnetic signals from a single—but programmable—ground radio navigation station, wind velocity and direction, and turbulence levels may be simulated.

EQUIPMENT-DEVICE TASK ELEMENT COMPARISON

The lists of controls and displays (hardware stimuli) found in the operational environment and in the training device were compared. For each stimulus in the TH-13T, a comparable stimulus was sought in the device. In some cases, almost identical counterparts were found—for example, both contain an Airspeed Indicator and a Cyclic Control. In other cases, some variation occurred between the aircraft and the trainer, but a common function could be identified; for example, the Microphone Switch in the device is comparable in function to both the Radio Microphone Switch and the ICS Microphone Switch in the TH-13T, and the aircraft's Elapsed Time Clock performs (but is not limited to) the function of the trainer's Eight-Day Clock. Table 1 contains a list of all of the TH-13T displays and controls for which counterpart items could be identified in the modified 1-CA-1.

In order to evaluate the degree of similarity between the two sets of stimuli, 11 TH-13T instructor pilots from the Tactical Instrument Phase of the O/WORWAC were asked, while "flying" typical modified 1-CA-1s, to evaluate each of the device's 10 displays that are identified in Table 1. The instructors indicated independently their opinions as to whether the (a) appearance, and (b) function of each of the displays were realistic or unrealistic in comparison with the corresponding instrument in typical Army helicopters. In Table 2 the instructor evaluations—or "realism ratings"—are summarized as percentages rated "realistic" for each of the displays. The instructors reported that, without exception, the evaluated displays were realistic in both appearance and function. Thus, it was concluded that stimulus commonality does exist between these displays in the trainer and in the operational equipment. 1

¹⁰The "realism ratings" were two-point scales, so far as individual raters were concerned: realistic or unrealistic. The purpose of a two-point scale was to increase the probable reliability of each rating.

7

⁹The comparisons were made between the 1-CA-1 and a hypothetical "typical Army helicopter" rather than the TH-13T specifically. The reason for this comparison was the assumption that training useful for typical helicopters probably would be useful in the TH-13T. The TH-13T of course, is a typical Army helicopter in many respects, although it is not employed tactically.

¹¹ It should be noted that the comparisons made by these instructors were made under dynamic conditions appropriate to the task under study, i.e., while the devices were being "flown." It was presumed that the realism judgments were made in relation to corresponding displays in a typical Army helicopter under dynamic, i.e., flight, conditions.

Table 1

TH-13T DISPLAYS AND CONTROLS AND THEIR COUNTERPARTS IN THE ROTARY WING MODIFIED 1-CA-12

Rotary Wing Modified 1-CA-1 Device	TH-13T Aircraft				
· Di	splays				
Airspeed Indicator	Airspeed Indicator				
Attitude Indicator	Attitude Indicator				
Altimeter	Altimeter				
Turn and Slip Indicator	Turn and Slip Indicator				
Radio Magnetic Indicator Heading Card	Bearing Heading Indicator (BHI) Heading Card				
Vertical Velocity Indicator	Vertical Velocity Indicator				
Manifold Pressure Gage	Manifold Pressure Gage				
Radio Magnetic Indicator ADF Pointer	Bearing Heading Indicator ADF Pointer				
8-Day Člock	Elapsed Time Clock				
Radio Call Label	Radio Call Placard				
C	ontrols				
Cyclic	Cyclic				
Pedals	Tail Rotor Control Pedals				
Collective	Collective				
Attitude Indicator Pitch Adjustment	Attitude Indicator Pitch Adjustment				
Control	Control				
Altimeter Setting Control	Altimeter Setting Control				
Ignition Switch	Ignition Switch				
Master Off-C., Switch	Battery On-Off Switch				
Volume Control	Signal Distribution Volume Control				
Microphone Button	Radio and ICS Microphone Switches				
8-Day Clock Set and Control Knob	Elapsed Time Clock Set and Control Knob				
Instrument Lights Off-On Switch	Instrument Light Circuit Breaker				
Cockpit Lights Rheostat	Cockpit Lights Rheostat				

^aOnly displays and controls that are used in tactical instrument training are included.

The commonality of the controls identified in Table 1 was determined in a similar manner. The same 11 TH-13T instructor pilots, while seated in typically modified 1-CA-1s, were asked to provide realism ratings of the 1-CA-1 controls along five dimensions: (a) appearance, (b) location, (c) direction(s) of movement, (d) control feel, that is, amount of pressure required to activate the control and the tactual feedback to the pilot, and (e) the effect of control activation upon the appropriate displays. The realism ratings for the 12 controls involved are indicated in Table 3. With respect to the dimensions evaluated, it can be seen that the Cyclic, Pedals, Collective, Master Off-On Switch, and Instrument Lights Off-On Switch tended to be rated as unrealistic in comparison to corresponding controls in typical Army helicopters, while the other seven controls tended to be rated as realistic.¹²

The realism of non-hardware stimuli associated with the device was judged by the research staff after consultation with TH-13T instructor pilots. It was decided that

Six

¹²The previous note concerning the dynamic conditions under which the ratings of display realism were made, applies to the rating of controls as well.

Table 2

RATINGS OF REALISM OF DISPLAYS
IMPORTANT IN AIRCRAFT CONTROL
COMMON TO THE TH-13T AND THE 1-CA-1^a

	Percent R	lealistic	
Display	Appearance	Function	
Airspeed Indicator	91	73	
Attitude Indicator	73	91	
Altimeter	91	91	
Turn and Slip Indicator	73	91	
Radio Magnetic Indicator			
Heading Card	100	100	
Vertical Velocity Indicator	73	91	
Manifold Pressure Gage	64	73	
Radio Magnetic Indicator			
ADF Pointer	100	100	
8-Day Clock	100	82	
Radio Call Label	80	100	

^aThe data indicate the percentage of the 11 responding TH-13T pilots who rated each instrument realistic or unrealistic in terms of its appearance and function.

Table 3

RATINGS OF REALISM OF CONTROLS IMPORTANT IN AIRCRAFT CONTROL

COMMON TO BOTH THE TH-13T AND THE 1-CA-1

Controls	Appearance	Location	Direction(s) of Movement	Control Forces	Effect Upor Displays
Cyclic	36	9	9	64	78
Pedals	45	55	9	45	55
Collective Pitch	27	9	100	36	27
Attitude Indicator Horizontal Adjustment Control	73	82	91	71	90
Altimeter Adjustment Control	100	100	100	100	100
Ignition Switch	80	55	80	86	70
Master Off-On Switch	27	9	56	57	25
Volume Control	64	55	80	70	67
Microphone Button	73	78	100	86	100
8-Day Clock Set and Control Knob	91	55	100	100	100
Instrument Lights Off-On					
Switch	0	9	40	50	44
Cockpit Lights Rheostat	55	45	100	100	91

*The data indicate the percentage of 11 responding TH-13T instructor pilots who rated each control realistic or unrealistic in terms of the appearance, location, etc.

simulated radio and interphone communication were essentially identical in content and of generally superior quality to such communication in the TH-13T during an operational mission. Electromagnetic signals from simulated ground stations affect navigation instrument displays in the trainer in a manner essentially identical to the manner in which corresponding displays are affected in the aircraft. Aural identifiers associated with ground radio navigation facilities, however, are not present in the trainer.

The influences of winds upon the aircraft are represented realistically in the device, since both wind direction and velocity are simulated. Turbulence may be simulated, but the levels involved are not as great as are sometimes experienced in the aircraft. Effects of other weather factors, such as rain and ice, cannot be simulated in the device, but magnetic variation may be simulated realistically.

ANALYSIS OF CRITERION PERFORMANCE

The portion of the TCA described above suggests some limits that might be placed upon the use of a training device in a specific training situation, but the extent to which commonality is required for training to take place also must receive attention. Subjectively, it might be suspected, for example, that a low realism rating for the device's Instrument Lights Off-On Switch might not be a severe limitation to use of the device for instrument training. It might even be suspected that effective training could be provided in the device with no such control present at all. The question which arises, then, is: What stimuli are required in order to use a device for training oriented toward a specific operational requirement? In other words, what are the stimulus components of criterion performance?

In order to answer this question, an analysis was made of representative flight maneuvers¹³ involved in criterion performance. Again using the O/WORWAC as the vehicle for the research, these maneuvers consisted of a TH-13T instrument take-off and climb to altitude; an ADF orientation, tracking, approach and missed approach; holding over a beacon; and an autorotation. In addition, accelerations, decelerations, turns, and recovery from unusual attitudes were included in the analysis. Performance of these maneuvers under limited visibility conditions constitutes most of the Army's tactical instrument mission. Consequently, the aircraft displays and controls involved in them are those which are the most significant from the standpoint of the training course under study.

The analysis of the instrument take-off and climb is included in this report as Appendix D 1 order to illustrate the level of detail involved in these analyses. It will be noted that emphasis is placed upon identification of the stimuli and responses involved in the execution of the maneuvers analyzed. Thus, the analysis identified the TH-13T displays and controls which are required to be employed in the accomplishment of criterion performance. In addition, it identified the responses a pilot makes to these stimuli while accomplishing criterion performance. Non-hardware stimuli were not addressed directly in these analyses; however, their effects constitute secondary tasks which must be performed by the trainee, such as responding to instruction received via radio and overcoming the effects of environmental factors while controlling the aircraft.

The task analysis indicated that, during the maneuvers analyzed, each of the TH-13T displays identified in Table 1 provided necessary stimuli to the pilot except the Radio

¹³ An analysis of all aspects of the tactical instrument mission of Army aviation, as performed in the TH-13T aircraft, was beyond the capability of the research staff with the resources available. While such an analysis would be desirable for purposes of developing an optimum, mission-oriented instrument training program for the Army aviator, the analysis of representative portions of the operational mission was judged adequate to provide data for the present research.

Call Placard. The analysis assumes that the information contained on the Placard is known to the pilot, and he therefore does not need to refer to it during the maneuvers analyzed.

The analysis also indicated a display required to perform these maneuvers in the

aircraft which is not contained in Table 1, the Engine and Rotor Tachometer.

The analysis also indicated that each of the TH-13T controls listed in Table 1, except the Attitude Indicator Pitch Adjustment Control, the Ignition Switch, the Battery On-Off Switch, the Instrument Light Circuit Breaker, and the Cockpit Lights Rheostat, were necessary to performance of the maneuvers analyzed. The analysis assumed, however, that the Attitude Indicator Pitch Adjustment Control has been set properly; otherwise performance of these maneuvers would be unduly difficult. The analysis also indicates an important omission from Table 1, the Throttle Control, about which more will be noted later.

DETERMINATION OF TRAINING PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS

TRANSFER OF TRAINING CONSIDERATIONS

The purpose of developing the analytic procedures described above was to make it possible to predict transfer of training accomplished in the device to the operational equipment. Principles of transfer of training, therefore, must enter into consideration. The principles which may be used as guidelines for forecasting improved criterion performance as a result of device training may be summarized as follows:

(1) Positive transfer will occur when both stimuli and responses are similar in the training situation (e.g., the 1-CA-1) and the criterion situation (e.g.,

tactical instrument flight in the TH-13T).

(2) Negative transfer will occur when the stimuli are similar in the training and the criterion situations, but the responses to the similar stimuli are different.

The intent of device training is, of course, to maximize those situations where positive transfer may be predicted and to avoid completely, if possible, those situations in which negative transfer may be predicted.

RESPONSE COMMONALITY AND PREDICTION OF TRANSFER OF TRAINING

The TCA described above identified the stimuli and responses involved in criterion performance and the extent to which those stimuli are common to both the operational equipment and the training device. The next consideration to be addressed is response commonality, that is, the extent to which responses made in the criterion environment may be made in the training device. With information concerning both stimulus and response commonality in hand, prediction of positive or negative transfer of training will be possible.

Aircraft Control

The more obvious responses under consideration are those related to aircraft control, and they were identified in the analyses described above of criterion performance. Aircraft control may be defined as the actions of the pilot as he closes the loop between displayed information and control inputs in order to maintain a steady state condition or to proceed from one steady state condition to another. Thus, in controlling the aircraft

(or simulated aircraft), the pilot responds through the aircraft controls to information (stimuli) he receives. Where relatively inexperienced instrument students are concerned, this information comes principally from instruments on the panel and feedback from the controls themselves. Proprioceptive and auditory cues associated with aircraft motion and sound have relatively little effect upon transfer of training during early stages of training.¹⁴

The displays used in aircraft control that are common to both the TH-13T and the modified 1-CA-1 (see Table 1) are (a) the Airspeed Indicator, (b) the Attitude Indicator, (c) the Altimeter, (d) the Turn and Slip Indicator, (e) the Bearing Heading Indicator (BHI) Heading Card, (f) the Vertical Velocity Indicator, and (g) the Manifold Pressure Gage. Except for the Manifold Pressure Gage, which is the primary indicator of the amount of power applied by the pilot, these instruments are useful for those aspects of aircraft control that do not involve aircraft subsystem control (e.g., engine and fuel subsystem control).

Reference to Table 2 indicates that these seven instrument displays were generally judged to be realistic in both appearance and function. Thus, it was concluded that similarity exists between these seven stimuli in the training device and in the criterion environment.

The analysis of maneuvers performed in the TH-13T indicated a display important to aircraft control which is not present in the 1-CA-1. It is the Engine and Rotor Tachometer.¹⁵ The absence of this important display constitutes a significant dissimilarity of stimuli between the training and the criterion situation.

The responses important to aircraft control require the appropriate manipulation by the pilot of certain levers, pedals, switches, knobs, and so forth, in the aircraft or simulated aircraft. The controls identified in the criterion performance analysis as being important to aircraft control that are common to both the TH-13T and the 1-CA-1 were determined to be (a) the Cyclic, (b) the Pedals, (c) the Collective, (d) the Altimeter Setting Control, (d) the Signal Distribution Volume Control, (f) the Radio Microphone Switch, and (g) the Elapsed Time Clock Set and Control Knob.

Reference to Table 3 indicates that four of these controls were judged to be relatively realistic in the modified 1-CA-1. The Cyclic, Pedals, and Collective—controls which play an important role in aircraft control—were judged to be relatively unrealistic in either direction of movement or effect upon displays. The consensus of the pilots who made the realism ratings was that the Cyclic and the Pedals required unrealistic directions of movement (in order to obtain a given effect), and the Collective had an unrealistic effect upon the appropriate displays when comparison was made with typical Army helicopters. Thus, it must be concluded that dissimilarity exists between responses required to operate the three most important controls used in the training and in the criterion situations.

The TCA indicated an important omission from the list of common controls: the Throttle. Since adjustment of the Throttle is required in the TH-13T whenever a change is made from one steady state condition to another, the lack of a Throttle in the modified 1-CA-1 constitutes an important dissimilarity in response capability between the training and the criterion situation.

Two facts significantly limit the potential of the device from the standpoint of transfer of training: (a) training involving power adjustment through the Throttle in response to information displayed on the Engine Tachometer cannot be provided in the

¹⁴Smode, A.F., et al., op. cit.

¹⁵ An instrument labeled Engine Tachometer is present in the 1-CA-1. It does not function, however. For purposes of this research, instruments and controls in the 1-CA-1 which do not function are considered to be missing.

1-CA-1, and (b) Throttle (i.e., RPM) control is an important aspect of the aircraft control task in the TH-13T. Furthermore, the fact that the displays in the 1-CA-1 and the TH-13T are similar, but the responses required to operate the Cyclic, Pedals, and Collective are dissimilar, leads to a prediction of negative transfer of training from the 1-CA-1 to the TH-13T where aircraft control skills are concerned. Only in the relatively insignificant cases of training pilots to adjust the altimeter, set the clock, adjust radio volume, and speak through the microphone, are the stimuli and responses involved appropriate to a prediction of positive transfer of training from the training device to the criterion equipment.

Communication

Under instrument conditions, communication takes place between the pilot and the copilot, and between the pilot or copilot and certain ground stations. Communication stimuli are received through earphones in both the TH-13T and the 1-CA-1. Simulation of the copilot and of ground communication statices can be provided in the 1-CA-1 by the device operator. The stimuli presented to the pilot to simulate these two sources of communication were judged by the research staff to be realistic in the 1-CA-1 (except that the signal received by the pilot in the device typically is superior in quality to that received in the TH-13T).

From the response standpoint, the pilot of the 1-CA-1 has only two operable communications controls which have counterparts in the TH-13T, the Microphone Button and the Volume Control. It was the consensus of the TH-13T instructor pilots who evaluated the 1-CA-1 display and controls, as indicated in Tables 2 and 3, that these two controls were realistic when compared to the corresponding controls in typical Army helicopters. Thus, within the limitation imposed by the availability of only two communication controls, the stimuli and responses necessary to tactical instrument communication are similar in the 1-CA-1 and the TH-13T. Positive transfer can be predicted.

The communication function of tuning radios, as required in the TH-13T, is not possible in the 1-CA-1. It should be noted, however, that this function is performed by the copilot in the TH-13T, upon verbal instruction from the pilot. This situation may be simulated in the 1-CA-1 by instructing the device operator to perform the radio tuning function when requested to do so by the trainee.

ADDITIONAL TRAINING PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS

The modified 1-CA-1 was investigated to determine whether it had any capabilities that might contribute positively to its training usefulness but that had not been noted in the systematic analyses described above. In addition, the O/WORWAC Tactical Instrument Training syllabus was reviewed to identify any areas of training that might be conducted, in part, in the modified 1-CA-1 but that had not otherwise been noted in the present study. Two such areas were noted for further investigation.

Environmental Simulation

The 1-CA-1 provides the capability of simulating three aspects of the environment in which the simulated aircraft operates: wind direction and intensity, turbulence, and electromagnetic signals. The similarity of the stimuli presented by this simulation to the

¹⁶ Earphones are not identified as common equipment in Table 1. Earphones are contained in the pilot's personal flight helmet which he uses in the TH-13T, rather than being an integral part of the aircraft. Headsets are integral to the training device.

pilot in the modified 1-CA-1 and the TH-13T was observed by the research staff, and, after consultation with the TH-13T instructor pilots, was judged to be realistic. The effect upon aircraft navigation of simulation of wind direction and intensity subjectively corresponds closely to the corresponding effect in the TH-13T. The simulation of turbulence in the device corresponds subjectively to that experienced in the TH-13T, except that the degree of turbulence in the device is not as great as that which may be experienced in the aircraft. Simulations in the device of all electronic signals which originate outside the aircraft (e.g., ground-based radio navigation stations) were judged to be realistic representations of the corresponding signals in the aircraft with one exception—aural station identifiers typically are missing. This defect in the simulation is considered of little consequence in the present instance, since the information the aural station identifiers provide is redundant.

Thus, the extra-aircraft environmental stimuli presented in the modified 1-CA-1 and the TH-13T are similar. The responses made to these stimuli all involve, to one degree or another, manipulation of the controls of the aircraft; therefore, the above comments dealing with aircraft control apply. It should be noted, however, that certain procedural aspects of the responses required to environmental effects are similar in the training and criterion situations in spite of the dissimilarity of aircraft control responses. For example, corrections for wind are similar, procedurally, in the two situations, although the specific

psychomotor responses required of the pilot are dissimilar.

Training in the modified 1-CA-1 in the procedural aspects of responding to environmental stimuli may be predicted to result in positive transfer to the criterion situation, although certain aircraft control responses being practiced during such training will lead to negative transfer. The net result of such training is questionable, since most of the responses which must be made to environmental stimuli involve aircraft control to a greater degree than procedures.

Aircraft Malfunction

Too few of the displays and the controls in the modified 1-CA-1 are sufficiently similar to those of the TH-13T to justify consideration of using the training device to train pilots to cope with TH-13T systems (e.g., engine) malfunctions. Malfunctions of certain instruments, however, can be simulated in the device in order to teach the pilot to fly under "partial panel" conditions. In the case of attitude control, for example, the Attitude Indicator may be failed in the modified 1-CA-1 by turning the Artificial Horizon On-Off Selector to the Off position. The simulation of failure of other instruments may be accomplished simply by taping a mask over the instruments and requiring the pilot to fly by reference to the remaining unmasked instruments.

The simulation of instrument malfunctions in the modified 1-CA-1 is realistic when compared to the malfunction of corresponding instruments in typical Army helicopters in that such simulation requires the pilot in the device to resort to the remaining instruments for the appropriate stimuli for aircraft control. Although the stimuli are realistic, the responses required of the pilot are those of aircraft control, and the previous comments concerning transfer of aircraft control training apply. So far as training in the modifie'l 1-CA-1 to cope with instrument malfunction in the TH-13T is concerned,

negative transfer is predicted.

An important part of "partial panel" training is teaching the pilot to respond correctly to the standby compass. The standby compass, however, is not considered operable in the modified 1-CA-1. Therefore, those aspects of "partial panel" flight training which depend on this instrument (i.e., training for compass lead, lag, acceleration, and deceleration effects) cannot be provided in the device.

DEVELOPMENT OF A TRAINING PROGRAM

The analyses described also provide the basis for the development of a training program. In such activity, the two rules to be followed are: (a) maximize positive transfer of training, and (b) minimize negative transfer of training.

In the case of the specific training program which was the subject of analysis in this study, it is apparent that significant improvements in criterion performance will not result from a new program of instruction for the old device. It would appear that nothing can be done to avoid some amount of negative transfer of aircraft control training from the modified 1-CA-1 to the criterion situation because any use of the device likely to lead to positive transfer of other skills inevitably will require the trainee to practice inappropriate aircraft control responses.

As a consequence of this situation, the design of a new program of instruction, an original objective of the research program of which this report is a part, was determined to be of insufficient value to the Aviation School to warrant an extensive development program. Nevertheless, any degree of improvement in the O/WORWAC training program would be of some value. Therefore, changes in emphasis in the training being conducted in the modified 1-CA-1 devices would probably be of benefit by providing slight improvements in aviator proficiency in the aircraft. These changes in emphasis are indicated below:

- (1) The best way to avoid negative transfer of skills is to eliminate the source of the negative transfer. This could be done in the O/WORWAC by teaching trainees attitude flying in the TH-13T before any training is given in the 1-CA-1. All aircraft control training could be provided in the aircraft. After the trainee has learned to control the aircraft, the incompatible responses he must make in the 1-CA-1 while learning procedures will be less likely to interfere with subsequent criterion performance.
- (2) It is useless to require the trainee to develop high skill levels in the control of the 1-CA-1; being able to "fly" the 1-CA-1 to tight tolerances has no intrinsic value in terms of performance in an aircraft. Being able to control altitude to ±100 feet in the 1-CA-1, for example, will not enable him to control altitude any better in the TH-13T. In fact, the reverse may be true.
- (3) It would be desirable to minimize instruction in maneuvers in the 1-CA-1 which involve frequent throttle adjustment. The absence of a throttle and an engine tachometer in the 1-CA-1 is possibly a major source of negative transfer of training. Attempting to teach acceleration and deceleration, for example, can only make bad matters worse.
 - (4) It would appear that use of the 1-CA-1 in the tactical instrument training program can be justified only for procedures training. Therefore, instruction in which the device is used would most profitably concentrate on procedures. Emphasis on anything other than procedures should be avoided, even to the extent of allowing very "sloppy" flying.

CONCLUSION

The primary objective of the research described here, to develop systematic, analytic procedures that will enable training personnel to assess the utility of an existing device for a new training purpose, was met. These procedures permit the estimation of the transfer of training value of a device when employed in a new or a to-be-developed training program and provide substantive guidance to the training officer responsible for efficient use of the device.

The procedures were applied to an existing training system where the transfer of training value of a flight training device had been determined empirically during earlier research. A general lack of task commonality was found between the device and the operational aircraft, and predictions were made that the device would have little transfer of training value. These predictions were generally compatible with the findings during the earlier research that, overall, there were no consistent indications that the device-trained groups differed, in terms of performance in the aircraft, from groups without such training.

Applications of these analytic procedures are not limited to evaluations of existing

devices in new training programs. Other applications include:

(1) Comparison of several devices, either in the inventory or available commercially, to determine which one would be-best suited for a known training device requirement. Situations where such an application would be appropriate include those in which a less-than-fully satisfactory device might provide an interim solution to a training problem while an appropriately designed device is being developed.

(2) Investigation of a prototype device to verify the suitability of its design prior to awarding follow-on procurement contracts. Situations where such an application would be appropriate include those in which circumstances preclude immediate empirical

validation of the prototype's design features.

(3) Analysis of existing training programs in which training devices are

employed to determine whether optimum use is being made of those devices.

The procedures described in this report are general in application. Throughout their development, it was intended that they apply to all military as well as nonmilitary situations in which training devices are employed in the development of operationally required skills.

The secondary objective of this research, to develop a training program which could make effective use of the Army's rotary wing modified 1-CA-1, was not met. The application of the analytic procedures to the training situation in which that device was employed led to the conclusion that significant improvements in criterion performance would not result from a new program of instruction for the old device due to negative transfer factors previously discussed.

APPENDICES

•

Appendix A

DISPLAYS AND CONTROLS FOUND IN THE TH-13T AIRCRAFT

T	Diamlassa
	Displays

- A. Flight instruments
 - (1) Airspeed Indicator
 - (2) Standby Compass
 - (3) Standby Compass Deviation Card
 - (4) Attitude Indicator
 - (5) Attitude Indicator Power Off Warning Flag
 - (6) Altimeter
 - (7) Vertical Velocity Indicator
 - (8) Bearing Heading Indicator Heading Card
 - (9) Bearing Heading Indicator Deviation Card
 - (10) Turn and Slip Indicator
- B. System Status Instruments
 - (1) Chip Detector Light
 - (2) Fuel Boost Fail Light
 - (3) Heater Fail Light
 - (4) Inverter Fail Light
 - (5) Cylinder Head Temperature Indicator
 - (6) Fuel Gage
 - (7) Loadmeter
 - (8) Engine Gage
 - (a) Engine Oil Temperature
 - (b) Transmission Oil Temperature
 - (c) Oil Pressure
 - (d) Fuel Pressure
 - (9) Tachometer
 - (a) Rotor
 - (b) Engine
 - (10) Manifold Pressure Gage
 - (a) Manifold Pressure
 - (b) Compressor Pressure
 - (11) Carburetor Air Temperature Gage
 - (a) Carburetor Air Temperature
 - (b) Filter Air Temperature
- C. Radio Navigation Instruments and Displays
 - (1) Marker Beacon Light¹
 - (2) Course (Omni) Indicator¹
 - (a) Course Pointer and Reciprocal Pointer¹
 - (b) Off Vertical Flag¹
 - (c) Off Horizontal Flag¹
 - (d) To-From Meter¹
 - (e) Vertical Pointer¹
 - (f) Horizontal Pointer¹

- (3) Bearing Heading Indicator
 - (a) ADF Pointer
 - (b) Omni Pointer¹
- D. Miscellaneous Instruments and Displays
 - (1) Elapsed Time Clock
 - (2) TH-13T Frequency Card
 - (3) Transmitter Selector Decal
 - (4) Radio Call Placard

II. Controls

- A. Flight Control Systems
 - (1) Cyclic
 - (2) Cyclic Friction Adjuster
 - (3) Collective Pitch
 - (4) Collective Pitch Friction Adjuster
 - (5) Tail Rotor Control Pedals
 - (6) Tail Rotor Pedal Adjustment Controls
 - (7) Altimeter Setting Control
 - (8) Attitude Indicator Adjustment Controls
 - (a) Pitch Adjustment Control
 - (b) Roll Adjustment Control
 - (9) Phase A Attitude Indicator Circuit Breaker
 - (10) Phase B Attitude Indicator Circuit Breaker
 - (11) Compass Slaving Switch
 - (12) Turn and Slip Indicator Circuit Breaker
 - (13) Instrument Circuit Breaker
 - (14) Vertical Velocity Indicator Adjustment Screw
- B. Aircraft System Control
 - (1) Throttle
 - (2) Throttle Friction Adjuster
 - (3) Fuel Pump Circuit Breaker
 - (4) Fuel Mixture Control
 - (5) Fuel Shut-Off Control Knob
 - (6) Engine Start Button
 - (7) Engine and Transmission Oil Temperature Selector Switch
 - (8) Manifold Purge Valve Button
 - (9) Carburetor Heat Control
 - (10) Hydraulic System On-Off Switch
 - (11) Hydraulic Circuit Breaker
 - (12) Ignition Switch
 - (13) Battery On-Off Switch
 - (14) Generator On-Off Switch
 - (15) Engine Starter Circuit Breaker
 - (16) Inverter Circuit Breaker
 - (17) Inverter Spare Switch
 - (18) Phase A Inverter Fail Relay Circuit Breaker
- C. Radio Navigation/Communication Controls
 - (1) UHF Command Radio Set Control Panel
 - (a) On-Off Switch
 - (b) Channel Selector
 - (c) Volume Control
 - (d) Tone Button
 - (e) Remote-Local Switch

- (2) UHF Circuit Breaker
- (3) Signal Distribution Panel
 - (a) Receiver Switches, 1, 2, 3, and 4
 - (b) Receiver Interphone Switch
 - (c) Receiver Navigation Switch
 - (d) Volume Control
 - (e) Transmit-Interphone Selector Switch
- (4) ICS Circuit Breaker
- (5) VOR-ILS Control Panel¹
 - (a) Volume-Off Switch¹
 - (b) Squelch Control¹
 - (c) Whole Megacycle Channel Selector Switch¹
 - (d) Fractional Megacycle Channel Selector Switch¹
- (6) VOR Circuit Breaker¹
- (7) Marker Beacon Volume-Off Control¹
- (8) Marker Beacon Sensing Switch¹
- (9) Omni Course Selector Knob¹
- (10) Marker Beacon/Glide Slope Circuit Breaker¹
- (11) ADF Receiver Control Panel
 - (a) MC Band Switch
 - (b) Volume Off Control
 - (c) Function Switch
 - (d) Loop Switch
 - (e) Tuning Control
 - (f) BFO Switch
- (12) ADF Circuit Breaker
- (13) Bearing Heading Indicator Controls
 - (a) Heading Synchronization Knob
 - (b) Set Heading Knob
 - (c) VOR-ADF Knob¹
- (14) DG-401 Compass Circuit Breaker
- (15) Phase A DG-401 Compass Circuit Breaker
- (16) Phase C DG-401 Compass Circuit Breaker
- (17) Radio Light Rheostat
- (18) Radio Microphone Switch
- (19) ICS Microphone Switch
- (20) ICS Foot Switch
- D. Auxiliary Equipment Controls
 - (1) Door-Mounted Cabin Ventilator
 - (2) Cabin Heater Controls
 - (a) Heater Start Switch
 - (b) Heater Control Switch
 - (c) Heater Power Switch
 - (d) Heater Blower Switch
 - (e) Cabin Heater Thermostat Control
 - (f) Fire Shut-Off Control
 - (3) Cockpit Light Rheostat
 - (4) Instrument Lights Rheostat
 - (5) Position Lights On-Off Switch
 - (6) Anti-Collision Lights On-Off Switch
 - (7) Landing Light On-Off Switch
 - (8) Cockpit Lights Circuit Breaker

(9) Caution Lights Circuit Breaker

(10) Landing Lights Circuit Breaker

(11) Instrument Light Circuit Breaker

(12) Bubble Defrost Knob

(13) Elapsed Time Clock Set and Control Knob

(14) Shoulder Harness Lock Knob

(15) 26-Volt AC Transformer Circuit Breaker

(16) Radio Lights Rheostat

¹ Indicates displays and controls associated with VOR-ILS systems. The VOR-ILS and associated displays and controls are not used in the Tactical Instrument training portion of the O/WORWAC. All other displays and controls in the TH-13T are used by the student pilot or the instructor pilot or are of potential use to them during the course.

Appendix B

DISPLAYS AND CONTROLS FOUND IN 1-CA-1 DEVICE MODIFIED AT USAAVNS TO A ROTARY WING CONFIGURATION

I.	Displays
	py

- A. Attitude Instruments
 - (1) Standby Compass^{1, 2}
 - (2) Airspeed Indicator
 - (3) Attitude Indicator
 - (4) Altimeter
 - (5) Turn and Slip Indicator
 - (6) Radio Magnetic Indicator
 - (7) Vertical Velocity Indicator

B. System Status Instruments

- (1) Engine Tachometer³
- (2) Manifold Pressure Gage
- (3) Vacuum Gage^{1, 4}
- (4) Fuel Quantity Gage^{1, 5, 6}
- (5) Fuel Pressure Gage^{1, 5}
- (6) Oil Temperature Gage 1, 5
- (7) Air Temperature Gage^{1, 5}
- (8) Carburetor Temperature Gage⁵
- (9) Oil Pressure Gage^{1, 5}

C. Radio Navigation Instruments and Displays

- (1) Radio Compass Indicator^{4, 5, 6}
- (2) Army Cross Pointer Center Line Deviation Indicator
- (3) Army Cross Pointer To-From Indicator
- (4) Radio Magnetic Indicator ADF Pointer
- (5) Radio Magnetic Indicator Omni Pointer
- (6) Course Selector Display
- (7) Marker Beacon Indicator Light

D. Miscellaneous Instruments and Displays

- (1) 8-Day Clock
- (2) Radio Call Label

II. Controls

- A. Flight Control System
 - (1) Cyclic
 - (2) Pedals
 - (3) Collective Pitch Stick
 - (4) Attitude Indicator Horizontal Adjustment Control
 - (5) Altimeter Adjustment Control
 - (6) Radio Compass Indicator Magnetic Variation Adjustment^{1, 4}
 - (7) Turn and Bank Gyro-Off Switch⁴

B. Aircraft Systems Control

(1) Ignition Switch

(2) Fuel Tank Selector^{4, 5}

(3) Master Off-On Switch

- (4) Carburetor Open-Close Cooling Shutter Lever^{4, 5}
- (5) Oil Open-Close Cooling Shutters Lever^{4,5}
- (6) Cowl Open-Close Cooling Shutters Lever^{4,5}

(7) Pitot Heater Off-On Switch⁴

C. Radio Navigation/Communication Control

- (1) Army Cross Pointer Center Line Deviation Indicator Adjustment Screw⁴
- (2) Army Cross Pointer To-From Indicator Adjustment Screw⁴
- (3) Course Selector Knob
- (4) I.F.F. Control Switch4,5
- (5) Antenna Selector Switch⁵

(6) Loop Drive Switch⁵

- (7) Instrument Landing Switch⁵
- (8) To-From Switch^{4, 5}
- (9) Glide Path Control^{5, 7}
- (10) Off-Flight-Land Switch^{5,7}
- (11) Inner-Outer-Range-Tower Selector Switch^{5, 7}
- (12) Voice-Both-Range Switch^{5,7}
- (13) Volume Control
- (14) Pilot's Tuning Control⁵, ⁷
- (15) Microphone Button
- D. Auxiliary Equipment Controls
 - (1) 8-Day Clock Set and Control Knob
 - (2) Trainer Lock-Release Lever⁴
 - (3) Instrument Lights Off-On Switch
 - (4) Cockpit Lights Rheostat
 - (5) Door Knob

¹ Indicator displays and controls found in fewer than 80% of the rotary wing modified 1-CA-1s.

 2 The Standby Compass is functional but incorporates error typically as high as 60° and is not used

³[The Engine Tachometer registers 0 RPM when the Master Switch is off and a fixed operational value when the Master Switch is on. Its function is related to no other controls or displays.

other controls or displays.

Indicates displays and controls which are present in the rotary wing modified 1-CA-1 and perform functions for which there are no counterparts in the TH-13T.

⁵ Indicates displays and controls which are inoperative or nonfunctioning.

⁶/Approximately 25% of the rotary wing modified 1-CA-1s have a Fuel Quantity

Gage; the remaining 75% have a Radio Compass Indicator in its place.

Tindicates controls which are present in the rotary wing modified 1-CA-1 but for which there are no counterparts in the TH-13T; the function performed by these controls, however, can be performed by other means in the TH-13T.

Appendix C

MODIFIED 1-CA-1 DISPLAYS AND CONTROLS WHICH ARE OPERATIONAL IN A MAJORITY OF THE AVAILABLE DEVICES

- I. Displays
 - A. Attitude Instruments
 - (1) Airspeed Indicator
 - (2) Attitude Indicator
 - (3) Altimeter
 - (4) Turn and Slip Indicator
 - (5) Radio Magnetic Indicator Heading Card
 - (6) Vertical Velocity Indicator
 - B. System Status Instruments
 - (1) Manifold Pressure Gage
 - C. Radio Navigation Instruments and Displays
 - (1) Army Cross Pointer Center Line Deviation Indicator
 - (2) Army Cross Pointer To-From Indicator
 - (3) Radio Magnetic Indicator ADF Pointer
 - (4) Radio Magnetic Indicator Omni Pointer
 - (5) Course Selector Display
 - (6) Marker Beacon Indicator Light
 - D. Miscellaneous Instruments and Displays
 - (1) 8-Day Clock
 - (2) Radio Call Label
- II. Controls
 - A. Flight Control System
 - (1) Cyclic
 - (2) Pedals
 - (3) Collective Pitch Stick
 - (4) Attitude Indicator Horizontal Adjustment Control
 - (5) Altimeter Adjustment Control
 - (6) Turn and Bank Gyro-Off Switch¹
 - B. Aircraft Systems Control
 - (1) Ignition Switch
 - (2) Master Off-On Switch
 - (3) Pitot Heater Off-On Switch¹
 - C. Radio Navigation/Communication Control
 - (1) Army Cross Pointer Center Line Deviation Indicator Adjustment Screw¹
 - (2) Army Cross Pointer To-From Indicator Adjustment Screw¹
 - (3) Course Selector Knob
 - (4) Volume Control
 - (5) Microphone Button



D. Auxiliary Equipment Controls
(1) 8-Day Clock Set and Control Knob

(2) Trainer Lock-Release Lever¹
 (3) Instrument Lights Off-On Switch

(4) Cockpit Lights Rheostat
 (5) Door Knob¹

¹Indicates displays and controls which are present in the rotary wing modified 1-CA-1 and perform functions for which there are no counterparts in the TH-13T.

Appendix D

DURING A TACTICAL INSTRUMENT MISSION IN THE TH-13T AIRCRAFT ANALYSIS OF A REPRESENTATIVE FLIGHT MANEUVER PERFORMED

INSTRUMENT TAKE-OFF (ITO) AND CLIMB TO A SPECIFIED ALTITUDE (Maneuver begins with the aircraft in position for ITO and all engine gages in the green) MANEUVER:

Responses	Copy clearance (adjust audio volume if required) Transmit repeat of clear-	dice (poster)	Set heading on Bearing Heading Indicator (BHI) using magnetic compass Set barometric pressure on	Set time on clock Transmit acknowledgment		Twist throttle counter- clockwise (CCW)	Stop throttle when needle reaches 3200 RPM Raise collective pitch lever
	1a. 1b.		25 23.	2¢.		 :	19. 10.
Information	Aircraft (A/C) identi- fication Clearance limit	Route of flight Altitude data Departure procedure Holding instructions Any special information	Direction of take-off Sequence of take-off	Altimeter setting Time Wing direction and velocity		Take-off heading	Engine and rotor RPM
	क् यं	그 그 하 부 하	2a.	, 2d.			-
Stimulus	Clearance from base airfield to destination	(Take-off clearance			Heading card reading	Needles moving clockwise (CW) to 3200 (engine) RPM
	-				•		
Display	Radio					Bearing Heading Indi- cator (BHI)	Tachometer
Task Communications:					Take Off:	Verify Heading	Control Rotor and Engine RPM

Responses	Stop collective ptich at take-off power 33" MP. Maintain 33" MP.	Push on left pedal	Push cyclic forward to acceleration attitude 2 bar widths below horizon	Pull cyclic back upper reaching 50K	Push cyclic forward to maintain 50K	Pull cyclic back to maintain 50K	When 500 fpm reached, reduce power to climb setting 27" MP by lowering collective	Pull cyclic back	Push cyclic forward		. Adjust throttle to maintain 3200 RPM	. Ease off left torque pedal	Twist throttle CW	Twist throttle CCW
	- -	-	÷	-	7	က်	÷	%	က်		ë	₽	÷	%
Information	Take-off power being applied	Yaw to the right	A/C in level attitude	Airspeed climbing	A/C climbing too rapidly	A/C climbing too slowly	Climb approaching standard rate	A/C climbing too	A/C climbing too fast		Approaching climb MP setting	•	RPM high	PPM too low
,	- ,	÷	- -	- :	%	က်	- -	6	က်		- -		- :	6
Stimulus	Needle moving CW	Ball out to the left of center	Minature A/C nose on horizon bar	Pointer moving CW to 50 knots (K)	Pointer moving CCW from 50K	Pointer moving CW from 50K	Pointer moving CW to 500 feet per minute (fpm)	Pointer not moving CW	Pointer moving CW from 500 fpm position		Needle moving CCW		Needle moving CW	Needle moving CCW from 3200 RPM
	- -	- -	÷		%	က်		6	က်		- -		-:	6
Display	Manifold Pressure (MP) Indicator	Turn & Slip Indicator	Attitude Indicator (primary for ITO)	Airspeed Indicator			Vertical Speed Indicator (VSI) (secondary for ITO,	primary for carried			Manifold Pressure		Tachometer	
Task -	Control Power	Control Trim	Control Pitch							Climb:	Adjust Power			

-- (Continued) ---

Responses	Maintain with cyclic	Cross check VSI for 500 fpm climb. Maintain with cyclic.	Maintain cyclic in center position laterally	Move cyclic to the left	Move cyclic to the right	Maintain cyclic in center laterally	Move cyclic to the left	Move cyclic to the right	Move cyclic to the left	Move cyclic to the right	Push right pedal	Push léft pedal	Lower collective to main-	Raise collective to maintain 50K
	÷	÷	- :	%	က်	÷	%	က်	- :	6	- :	6	-	6
Information	50K climbing attitude	Aircraft climbing	Straight climb attitude	A/C in right bank	A/C in left bank	A/C in straight flight	A/C in turn to right	A/C in turn to left	A/C in turn to right	A/C in turn to left	A/C is in left yaw	A/C is in right yaw	A/C climbing with	A/C climbing without enough power
	÷	÷	÷	6	က်	- -	6	က်		8		8	÷	6
Stimulus	Minaiture A/C nose ½ bar width above horizon bar	Needle moving CW	Miniature A/C wings parallel to horizon bar	Miniature A/C wings	Miniature A/C wings slanted down to left	Needle vertical	Needle moving CW from vertical	Needle moving CCW from vertical	Heading card rotating CCW	Heading card rotating CW	Ball to right of center when turn needle is indicating no turn	Ball to left of center when turn needle is indicating no turn	Needle moving CW	Needle moving CCW from 50K
	÷	÷	-	6	က်	÷	6	က်	÷	6	-:	%	÷	%
Display	Attitude Horizon (secondary for climb)	Altimeter (secondary for ITO)	Attitude Indicator (primary for ITO,			Turn Needle (secondary for ITO and climb)			BHI (secondary for ITO, primary for climb)		Turn & Slip Indicator		Airspeed Indicator	,
Task ¹			Control Bank								Control Trim		Control Power	

- (Continued) -

Access to the contract of the same of the contract of the cont

Responses		Push forward on cyclic 30 to 40 ft. before reaching desired altitude	Maintain with cyclic	Maintain with cyclic	Reduce power with collective to maintain 60K airspeed	Adjust throttle to maintain 3200 RPM	Control yaw with torque pedal	Control heading with cyclic
		- :	÷	÷	:	÷	:	÷
Information		Reaching desired altitude	Cruise altitude	A/C in level flight	A/C approaching cruise speed	Throttle needs adjustment	Trim needs adjustment	Heading not steady
		÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	¥
Stimulus		Needle moving CW	Minature A/C nose on horizon bar	Needle in horizontal position	Needle moving CW to 60K	Needle not indicating 3200 RPM	Turn needle vertical but ball off center	Heading card turning
		÷	÷	- :	÷	- :	- :	-:
Display		Altimeter (primary for pitch)	Attitude Indicator (secondary for pitch)	VSI (secondary for pitch)	Airspeed Indicator	Tachometer	Turn & Slip Indicator	вні
Task ¹	Level Off:	Control Pitch			Control Power			Control Heading

¹ Many of the tasks indicated in this maneuver occur concurrently rather than sequentially as suggested by the format employed. In like manner, the sequence employed in viewing the listed displays to obtain information, the sequence of response made, etc., are not necessarily restricted to the sequence indicated here.

Unclassified

DD FORM 1473

Security Classification		
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R & D	
(Security classification of title, body of abetract and indexing	ennotation must be entered when	the overall report is classified)
t. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Human Resources Research Organization (Hu	umRRO)	
300 North Washington Street	2b. GROUP	
_ Alexandria, Virginia 22314		
3. REPORT TITLE		
EQUIPMENT-DEVICE TASK COMMONALITY ANALYS:	TS AND	
TRANSFER OF TRAINING	20 .2.0	
4. OESCRIPTIVE HOTES (Type of report and inclusive detec) .		
Technical Report		
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)		
Paul W. Caro		
1 1442 6426		
6, REPORT DATE	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS
June 1970	34	75. NO. OF REFS
9g. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	Se. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBE	
DAHC-19-70-0012		
b. PROJECT NO.	Technical Report	70-7
2Q062107A712	lecuitcar keport	70-7
c. 2002107A712	Sh. OTHER REPORT NO.(a) (Any o	other numbers that may be essigned
4	thie raport)	
d.	1	
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	<u> </u>	
This document has been approved for publi	ic release and sale;	
its distribution is unlimited.	\$	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIV	/ITY
Work Unit ECHO, Synthetic Flight	Office, Chief of R	esearch and Development
Training Programs and Devices	Department of the	Army
	Washington, D.C.	20310
13. ABSTRACT		
Procedures were developed to enable train	ning personnel syste	matically and
objectively to determine the potential un	tility of training d	levices for teaching
how to perform missions in operational ed	quipment. The proce	dures allow comparison
of operational task stimulus and response		
in synthetic training equipment. On the		
programs consistent with the psychological		
training may be developed. The procedure		
of training equipment in a training situa	etion other than tha	t for which it was
designed, or in determining the applicable		
to specific training requirements. The p		
Analysis, were developed in connection wi		
training program. In an application of the		
of training predictions were generally co		
lected earlier.	maracene with embir	ical evidence col-
rected earlier.		
~		

ERIC Foundation by ERIC

Unclassified

Security Classification			
14. KEY WORDS	LINK A	LINK B	LINK C
	ROLE WI	ROLE WT	ROLE WT
Flight Training			
Helicopter Training			
Job Description			
Performance Prediction			
Rotary Wing Training			
Simulation			
Synthetic Training			
Task Analysis			
Task Commonality Analysis			
Training			
Training Devices			
Transfer of Training			
		·	
4- •►			
,			
ŕ			
·			
			1 1

Unclassified

Security C1 serfication

DISTRIBUTION LIST

L COMDT ABMY WILLIT POLICE SCH. FT GOPODN ATTY OIR CF. INSTA.

CONDT US ADMY SOUTHEASTERN SIG SCH. ATTHY: EDUC ADVISOR FT GOPODN

CONDT ABMY SOUTHEASTERN SIG SCH. ATTHY: EDUC ADVISOR FT GOPODN

SASST COMOTT ABMY AIR OPE SCH. FT BLISS ATTHY CLASSF TECH. LEA

CONDT ABMY OFF INVEL SCH. ATTHY AIR OPE F. SILL

CONDT ABMY OFF INVEL SCH. ATTHY SIG AS OPET

CONDT ABMY OFF INVEL SCH. ATTHY SIG AS OPET

CONDT ABMY OFF INVEL SCH. ATTHY SIG AS OPET

CONDT ABMY OFF INVEL SCH. ATTHY SIG AS OPET

CONDT ABMY OFF INVEL SCH. ATTHY SIG AS OPET

CONDT ABMY OFF INVEL SCH. ATTHY SIG AS OPET

CONDT ABMY OFF INVEL SCH. ATTHY SIG AS OPET

CONDT ABMY OFF INVEL SCH. ATTHY SIG AS OPET

CONDT ABMY OFF INVEL SCH. ATTHY SIG AS OPET

CONDT ABMY SCH. BUTTLE POLICE SCH. FT GORDON

CONDT USA A ENGR SCH. ATTHY EDUC ADV FT RELEVOIR

CONDT ABMY SCH. BUTTLE POLICE SCH. FT GORDON

CONDT USA ENGR SCH. ATTHY EDUC ADV FT RELVOIR

CONDT ABMY SCH. BUTTLE POLICE SCH. ATTHY SIG ABMY

CONDT USA ENGR SCH. ATTHY EDUC ADV FT RELVOIR

CONDT ABMY SCH. BUTTLE POLICE SCH. FT MOTES

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

CONDT USA ENGR SCH. ATTHY EDUC ADV FT RELVOIR

CONDT USA ENGR SCH. ATTHY SIG ABMY SCH. BUTTLE SCH.

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

CONDT USA ENGR SCH. ATTHY SIG ABMY SCH. BUTTLE SCH.

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE ADV

LORD OF INVIEW US MILL ACAD WEST POLICE DISTRIBUT

OIR DASO MANPOWER IPPEGR)

CHE DASS ATTH OF LIE BY

OF CHE DASS ATTH OF LIE BY

OR DASS ATT

CO 20 ARMOREO CAV REGT APO 00966 MY
CO 30 ARMOREO CAV REGT APO 00966 MY
CO 1317 ARMOREO CAV REGT APO 00966 MY
CO 1317 ARMOREO CAV REGT APO 00968 MY
LIST ARMOREO CAV REGT ARMOR SO STEWART ATTN AC OF S TWO
CO 1317 AN 0470 ARMOR 300 INF DIV ATTN 33 APO NY 009034
CO COMPANY A 10 BN 320 ARMOR 300 ARMOREO DIV APO NY
CO 2018 NA 310 ARMOR ATTN INFO DIV ATTN 33 APO NY 009034
CO COMPANY A 10 BN 320 ARMOR 300 ARMOREO DIV APO NY
CO 311 AN 310 ARMOR ATTN INFO DIV ATTN 33 APO NY 00904
CO 2018 NA 310 ARMOR 2511 INFO DIV ATTN 33 APO NY 00904
CO 2018 NA 310 ARMOR 2511 INFO DIV ATTN 33 APO NY 00905
CO 311 ARMOREO DIV NO SIA MOREES ATTN AT DE SG3
S5111 COPIN HO DIV ARMY NO JACKSONVILLE FLA
CO 100 3711 ARMOREO DIV NO SIA MOREES ATTN AT DE SG3
S5111 COPIN HO DIV ARMY NO JACKSONVILLE FLA
CO 100 3711 ARMOREO DIV NO SIA MOREES ATTN AT DE SG3
S511 COPIN HO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09205
CO 310 APO SAM PRAN 09205
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09207
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09207
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09207
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09207
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09207
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09207
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09207
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09207
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 09202
CO 311 INFO DIV ATTN ACOPES OF APO SAM PRAN 0920

MAYAL WSL CIR (CODE 5342) PT MUDU CALIF
OIP PRES MESS LAB NAY PERS PROGRAM SUPPORT ACTIVITY WASH NAY VO
PRES MESS LAB NAY PERS PROGRAM SUPPORT ACTIVITY WASH NAY VO
PRESS MESS LAB NAY PERS PROGRAM SUPPORT ACTIVITY WASH NAY VO
PRESS MESS LAB NAY PERS PROGRAM SUPPORT ACTIVITY WASH NAY VO
PRESS MESS LAB NAY PERS PROGRAM SUPPORT ACTIVITY WASH NAY VO
PRESS MESS NAY PERS NAY NAY DAY NAY PERS NA A. NAVGN WASH. D.C. 2 AMER INST FOR RES SILVER SPRING
1 AMER INST FOR RES POH ATTN LIBN
1 OIR PRIMATE LAS UNIVO DE MIS MADISON
1 COLUMBIA U SCH DE RUS
3 MARRIX CORP ALEXANDRIA ATTN TECH LIBN
1 AMER TEL+TEL CO NY
1 U DE GEORGIA DEPT OF PSYCHOL
1 OP GEORGIA DEPT OF PSYCHOL
1 OP GEORGE T HAUTY CHMN DEPT OF PSYCHOL U DE CEL
1 CEN ELECTRIC CO SANTA BARBARA ATTN LIBN
1 HEAD DEPT OF PSYCHOL UNIVO PSC COLUMBIA
1 TVA ATTN CHE LABOR RELATIONS BR DIV OF PERS KNOXVILLE
1 U DE GEORGIA DEPT OF PSYCHOL
1 U DE UTAM DEPT OF PSYCHOL
2 GE CO MASH D C
3 AMER INST FOR RES PALO ALTO CALIF
3 HIGH STATE U COLUMBIA
4 HIGH STATE U COLUMBIA
5 CI RSCH ASSOC INC DIR CF EVAL CHICAGO ILL
5 AMER INST FOR RES PALO ALTO CALIF
6 HORNORICS DIV OF NORTHROD CORP ANAMEIM CALIF
6 DHID STATE U SCH OF AVN
8 SCI RSCH ASSOC INC DIR CF EVAL CHICAGO ILL
6 AIGCARFT APMAMENTS INC COCKEYSVILLE MO
2 DREGON STATE U DEPT OF MILIT SCI ATTN ADD
3 LAMER PSYCHOL ASSOC MASHINGTON ATTN PSYCHOL TR
NO ILL U HEAD DEPT OF PSYCHOL
NO ILL U HEAD DEPT OF PSYCHOL
1 NO ILL U HEAD DEPT OF PSYCHOL
2 DREGON STATE U DEPT OF MILIT SCI ATTN ADD
3 LAMER PSYCHOL ASSOC MASHINGTON ATTN PSYCHOL TR
NO ILL U HEAD DEPT OF PSYCHOL
3 OR NORTH ATTN PRES
3 AMER BENAV SCI CALIF
5 DIR INSTR RESOURCES STATE COLL ST CLOUD MINN
COLL OF MIN HARY SCH DE FOUL
5 DILLINGIS IN DEPT OF FINDSTR ENGUN
3 NORTHMESTERN U OFPT OF THOSTR ENGUN
3 NORTHMESTERN U OFPT OF THOSTR ENGUN
4 NORTHMESTERN U OFPT OF THOSTR ENGUN
4 NORTHMESTERN U OFPT OF DEPT OF DOUBLEN CALIF LA
4 MASH MILITARY SYS DIL BETHEFOO M D
4 NORTHMESTERN U OFPT OF FORSCH SCL OMNIN U OF DREG
5 OR V ZACHERT RT 2 'ORMAN PARK GA
5 OR I HINTORY SOUTH OF SOUTHERN CALIF LA
5 MR BRANDON P SHITH RE ASSOC U DO MINN
COLL OF MIN PROVODE THE PROVINCE OF THE DOUBLE NOR CALIF LA
5 MR BRANDON OF SITH RES ASSOC U DO MINN
COLL OF MIN PARRIS (USA RETIPRES THE CITADEL SC
6 OR E POULKE DEPT OF PSYCH UNIV OF EOUL ADMIN U OF O

PSYCHOL LIB MARVARD UNIV CAMBRIDGE

U OF FILL LIB SER DEPT

U OF RAMSAS LIB PERIODICAL DEPT

U OF RAMSAS LIBS ACO DEPT

U OF RAMSAS LIBS ACO DEPT

U OF REBRASKA LIBS ACO DEPT

DHID STATE U LIBS ACO DEPT

PENNA STATE U PATTEE LIB DOCU DESK

PURDURU U LIBS PENDOUCALS

STAMPORD U LIBS COUL LIB

LIBN U OF TEXAS

SYMACUSE U LIBS SER DIV

SERIALS PEC UNIV DE MINN MINNEAPOLIS

STATF U OF IDMA LIBS SEP ACO

NO CARCINA STATE COLL OH HILL LIB

BOSTON U LIBS ACO DIV

U OF MICH LIBS SER DIV

BROWN U LIB

COLUMBIA U LIBS DOCU ACO

OIR JOINT U LIBS ACO DIV

U OF POM DOCU LIBN

CATHOLIC U LIBS COLL ACO

U OF YOUR OWN ATTN SPEC COLL DEPT MASH DC

LIB DE CONCRESS CHE DE EXCH + GIFT DIV

U OF PRODOCU LIBN

CATHOLIC U LIB ECUC C PSYCHOL LIB WASH DC

U OF KY MARGARET I KING LIB

SO ILL U ATTN LIBN SER DEPT

KANSAS STATE U FARRELL LIB

BRIGHAM YOUNG U LIB SER SECT

U OF LOUISVILLE LIB BELKNAD CAMPUS

G E RYAN JAYCOPTERS LTO NY

SYSTEMS ENGR GP RSCH LIB SER SECT

U OF LOUISVILLE LIB BELKNAD CAMPUS

G E RYAN JAYCOPTERS LTO NY

SYSTEMS ENGR GP RSCH FO FANN INST UNIV DE ILL URBANA

G C CONNKIN LINN GP GENEL PRECISION INC SILVER SPRING MO

D E MEYER AFFIL BROOKS AFB FYEAS

OR A F SMODE OUNLAP & ASSOC DARIEN CONN

M B RONGEY ONR NOTO FLA

OR M T RICHARDSON NORTHROP CORP "CALIF

OR M T RORDS LIGHT AND GET TO PSYCH ELA STAFE UNIV

DISPLAY SYS DEPT HUGGES AIRCRAFT CALIF

R J H GROSSLIGHT AO DEPT OF PSYCH ELA STAFE UNIV

DISPLAY SYS DEPT HUGGES AIRCRAFT CALIF

G REID SPRING HUMAN FACTORS MP-537 MARTIN FLA

G POTTER OIR AVN SAFETY OIV UNIV OF SOUTHERN CALIF

R A MONTY CORNELL ARROWS TO FINN KNOXVILLE

OR L M CORSTON SEN ON SAFETY OIV UNIV OF SOUTHERN CALIF

R A MONTY CORNEL ARROWS TO SOUTHERN CALIF

R A BONTY CORNEL ARROWS TO FINN KNOXVILLE

OR L M CORSTON SCHOOL ONLY OF TENN KNOXVILLE

OR L M CORSTON SCHOOL ONLY OF TENN

LIB EMBRY-RIOOLE ARRONAU

ERIC

HUMAN RESOURCES RESEARCH ORGANIZATION

300 North Washington Street . Alexandria, Virginia 22314

President
Executive Vice President

Director for Business Affairs and Treasurer Director for Operations Director for Program Development

Director for Research Design and Reporting

Dr. Meredith P. Crawford Dr. William A. McClelland

Mr. Charles W. Smith Mr. Amold A. Heyl

Dr. Robert G. Smith, Jr. Dr. Eugene A. Cogan

RESEARCH DIVISIONS

-

HumRRO Division No. 1 (System Operations) 300 North Washington Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

HumRRO Division No. 2
Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121

HumRRO Division No. 3
Post Office Box 5787
Presidio of Monterey, California 93940

HumRRO Division No. 4
Post Office Box 2006
Fort Berning, Georgia 31905

HumRRO Division No. 5
Post Office Box 6057
Fort Bliss, Texas 79916

HumRRO Division No. 6 (Aviation)
Post Office Box 428
Fort Rucker, Alabama 36360

HumRRO Division No. 7 (Social Science)
300 North Washington Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Dr. J. Daniel Lyons Director

Dr. Donald F. Haggard Director

Dr. Howard H. McFann Director

Dr. T.O. Jacobs

Dr. Robert D. Baldwin Director

Dr. Wallace W. Prophet
Director

Dr. Arthur J. Hoehn Director